Of every five juveniles who appeared in court, four were urban residents; 92.3 p.c. were living in their own homes at the time they got into difficulties; 4.7 p.c. of them were in foster homes, either with a relative or some other person; and institutions were the homes of 1.7 p.c. of them.

Sources of Complaint.—The police were the complainants in the majority of juvenile cases, 85.6 p.c. of the boys having been charged by them. Probation officers and parents were responsible for 3.0 p.c. and 2.8 p.c., respectively, of those charged. School authorities referred 2.1 p.c. of the boys to the courts and social agencies another 1.0 p.c.

The proportion (55.9 p.c.) of girls charged by the police was considerably less than the proportion of boys so charged. Parents made more use of the courts for girls than for boys (17.4 p.c.). School authorities laid complaints in 6.3 p.c., probation officers in 9.3 p.c. and social agencies in 5.1 p.c. of the girls' cases.

**Repeaters.**—In 1955 approximately one in every four children brought before the courts failed to heed the first warning and made at least a second appearance. In that year 77.2 p.c. of the delinquent children appeared before the courts for the first time, 15.1 p.c. were second offenders, 4.6 p.c. third, and 3.1 p.c. were dealt with by the courts four or more times.

Year	Total Delin- quents	First Of- fenders	Repeaters					
			Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth or More	Total	Per- centage of Total Delin- quents
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	
1946 1947 1948 1949 1950	4,949 4,683 4,591 6,198 6,418	3,430 3,376 3,340 5,195 5,039	799 673 674 603 892	344 329 266 208 314	155 138 147 109 140	221 167 164 83 33	1,519 1,307 1,251 1,003 1,379	30.7 27.9 27.3 16.2 21.5
1951*	6,644 6,068 6,377 6,332 7,025	5,141 4,412 5,170 4,993 5,423	909 963 752 895 1,060	324 367 230 252 326	132 155 124 99 119	138 171 101 93 97	1,503 1,656 1,207 1,339 1,602	$22.6 \\ 27.3 \\ 18.9 \\ 21.1 \\ 22.8$

30.—First Offenders and Repeaters of Major Offences 1946-55

<sup>1</sup> Minor offences included since 1949.

<sup>2</sup> Newfoundland included since 1951.

Disposition of Cases.—In 1955 not quite one-half of the children's cases (43.7 p.c.) were heard within four days of the charge and slightly over two-thirds (67.0 p.c.) within nine days. However 9.2 p.c. of them had to wait at least two weeks and 9.8 p.c. waited a month or more before the first hearing. These waiting periods may be explained in various ways.

Some county courts sit only twice or even once a month. Hearings may be deferred because of sickness in the family, school examinations, stormy weather or long distances. The chief cause for delay, however, is the time it takes to investigate the facts properly. The probation officer, and frequently there is only one to a court, has to find out what occurred at the time of the delinquency; he must get in contact with the parents and the school, learn something of the home situation, perhaps arrange medical or psychiatric examinations and explore community resources. The disadvantage of a long waiting period is outweighed by the assistance the court receives in deciding the form of treatment best suited to the child's needs and the type of care that will be the most economical for the community. For these intervening days or weeks most children are left in their own homes while a minority are placed in detention homes and, in the long run, whether the effect of the waiting period is good or bad is determined by the care given the youngster during that time.

91593-22